HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

DIVISION BENCH

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI S.R. NAYAK, C.J.
HON'BLE SHRI D.R. DESHMUKH, J.

M.A. NO. 926 OF 2005

APPELLANTS	1.	State of Chhattisgarh, through the Collector, Bastar Distt. Jagdalpur (CG)
	2.	The Divisional Forest Officer (General) South Kondagaon Distt. Bastar (CG)
	3.	The Forest Range Officer, Mardapal, Through the D.F.O. South Kondagaon District Bastar (C.G.)
	Versus	
RESPONDENTS	1.	Ban Singh, S/o Karia, aged 55 years;
	2.	Smt. Sukam, W/o Ban Singh aged about 50 years, Both resident of village Golaband Betbeda P.S. Kondagaon District Bastar (C.G.)

Present : Shri V.V.S. Murthy , learned Dy. G.A. for the appellants/State.

(ORAL ORDER)
(Passed on 15th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by

S.R. Nayak, CJ.

In this appeal preferred by the State of Chhattisgarh and its officers under Section 30 of the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923 (for short 'the Act'), although learned Dy. A.G. would meekly contend that deceased Late Sahdeo could not be regarded as "workman" within the meaning of that term as defined under Clause (n) of Section 2 of the Act, he was not in a position to substantiate the contention with reference to the statute or any case law. The above contention was raised only on the ground that the deceased was

only a temporary/casual employee and he was not appointed on regular basis. It is not that the definition of the "workman" includes only permanent employees and not casual or temporary employees. No other contentions were urged before us. Be that as it may, this appeal does not involve any substantial question of law warranting admission of this appeal under Section 30 of the Act. The appeal is devoid of merit and it is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-
Chief Justice
Judge

Sd/-
DILIP RAOSAHEB DESHMUKH
Judge